## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

OLDEN MEDLEY.

	•		
	Plaintiff,		Case No. 07-15046
v. CITY OF DE et al.,			Hon. Marianne O. Battani
	TROIT,	Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen	
	Defendants.		
		/	

## ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff Olden Medley filed this action, claiming defendants, City of Detroit, Detroit Police Department, and individual police officers violated his constitutional rights when they arrested and incarcerated him. The case was referred to Magistrate Judge Paul J. Komives for all pretrial proceedings. The City of Detroit subsequently filed a Motion to Dismiss.

In a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") dated August 12, 2008, Magistrate Judge Komives recommended that the motion be granted in part and denied in part. See Doc. No. 16. Under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and E.D. Mich. LR 72.1(d)(2), a party seeking review of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation is required to act within ten days of service of the R&R. A party's failure to file objections waives any further right of appeal.

Although neither party filed an objection, Plaintiff has requested additional time in which to respond to the Motion to Dismiss. The scheduling order required Plaintiff's response to be filed on April 24, 2008. Although his response was received on April 25,

2008, the Magistrate Judge found it timely and considered it in assessing the merits of

Defendants' motion. Further response to the motion is not necessary. Accordingly,

Plaintiff's request is **DENIED**.

The Court has carefully reviewed the Report and Recommendation.

recommendation is supported by the facts of this case and governing case law, and the

Court **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation. Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** the

request to dismiss Plaintiff's claims of false arrest, excessive force, and unlawful

incarceration. The Court **DISMISSES** the City of Detroit Police Department as it is not an

entity amenable to suit. If further **DISMISSES** Plaintiff's due process claim, which is barred

by Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994). The Court agrees that Plaintiff's claim

of municipal liability is deficient in that the complaint does not allege a specific

unconstitutional practice or policy of the City of Detroit that resulted in his injuries. Because

the Court also agrees that prior to discovery, Plaintiff cannot be expected to do so, the

claim is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. Plaintiff may amend his complaint should discovery

provide support for his contentions about the policies and customs of the City of Detroit.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Marianne O. Battani

MARIANNE O. BATTANI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATE: September 16, 2008

2

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this Order were mailed and/or e-filed to Plaintiff and counsel of record on this date.

<u>s/Bernadette M. Thebolt</u> Deputy Clerk